

## Is it safe to use hair dyes during pregnancy? An update

Oluş Api<sup>1</sup>, Cihat Şen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, Faculty of Medicine, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cerrahpaşa Medical Faculty, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Possible adverse impacts such as congenital malformations and childhood cancers of fetuses that may be caused by hair dye use during pregnancy have been debated for long years. Ames et al. found in 1975 for the first time that some hair dye contents created mutagenic impact on *Salmonella typhimurium* mutant Ta 1538 strain.<sup>[1]</sup> Also, in many studies performed in these years, it was shown that chromosome and chromatid aberrations occurred in the mammalian cell culture exposed to hair dyes.<sup>[2-4]</sup> Teratology studies carried out on the rats followed these studies in 1976 and 1977.

The study titled as "Teratology and percutaneous toxicity studies on hair dyes" was conducted in 1976, and the study titled as "Dominant lethal mutagenicity study on hair dyes" was conducted in 1977. In vivo effects of hair dyes which were presented to be active mutagenically by in vitro tests were investigated in these studies.<sup>[5,6]</sup> In the first study, 12 different hair dyes were applied to 12 white New Zealand rabbits for investigating the systemic toxicity of dyes and to 20 pregnant Charles River CD rats for investigating teratological effects on 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 days of gestation. Hair dyes were applied topically 2 times a week for 13 weeks as described.<sup>[4]</sup> Three of hair dyes were applied directly and other 9 of them were applied in the rate of 1:1 as usual by mixing with 6% hydrogen peroxide just before the use. In the teratology study, it was observed that a no change occurred in any significant soft tissue or skeletal system. In the percutaneous toxicity study, 25 tissue samples taken from each subject were analyzed

and no histomorphological change was observed. Also urine samples were analyzed and no staining was observed in the urine. In the dominant lethal mutagenity study, 11 different types of hair dye were applied intraperitoneally to male Charles River CD rats 3 times a week for 8 weeks in 20 mg/kg doses.<sup>[6]</sup> Then, within 2 weeks, they were copulated with female rats.<sup>[6]</sup> At the end of this study, no increase was seen in fetal loss after implantation.

These studies were followed by many other studies carried out on animals. In the study of Picciano et al. carried out in 1983 and 1984, analyzed 4-chlororesorcinol, m-phenylenediamine, pyrogallol, 6-chloro-4-nitro-2-aminophenol, o-chloro-paraphenylen-diamine and N-phenyl-paraphenylen-diamine were analyzed teratologically and no embryotoxic/fetal toxic impact was found.<sup>[7,8]</sup> The dose and frequency of hair dye applied in these studies are incredibly higher than the dose of hair dye applied in human topically once a month. However, based on the animal studies, it may be an assertive approach to a degree claiming that hair dyes have no teratogenic impact in human pregnancy. Prospectively investigating the potential teratogenic impact of hair dyes on human may cause some ethic problems.

Therefore, in order to investigate the fetal impacts of exposure to hair dye, case-controlled retrospective studies based on interview method were conducted. In order to shed some light on this matter, reproductive results of hairdressers were analyzed as the profession group which expose to the hair dyes most. In 2002, Rylander et

**Correspondence:** Dr. Oluş Api. Yeditepe Üniversitesi Hastanesi Perinatoloji Bilim Dalı, İstanbul, Turkey. e-mail: olusapi@gmail.com

Received: September 3, 2014; Accepted: September 15, 2014

Available online at: www.perinataljournal.com/20140223012 doi:10.2399/prn.14.0223012 QR (Quick Response) Code:



deomed

al. followed up 3706 hairdressers, who were living in Sweden, for 25 years (1973-1994) and compared their 6960 babies with 3462 women and their 6629 babies.<sup>[9]</sup> When compared with the control group of hairdressers, the authors found that they only delivered SGA (small for gestational age) babies (OR: 1.5, p=0.004). The term SGA used in this study was defined according to the standard table, where birth records in Sweden between 1985 and 1989 were investigated and birth weights were reported according to the weeks of gestation, and it was used to describe the fetuses with intrauterine growth restriction. Also the newborns with low birth weights (< 2500 g) and preterm labor rates (<37 weeks) were compared between the groups. While there was no difference between the groups in terms of preterm labor rates, the incidence of the babies with low birth weight in hairdresser group was higher although it was not statistically significant (4.5% vs. 4.1%, OR:1,2, 95% CI: 1.0-1.05, p>0.05). It was reported that major malformations were observed more in the babies of hairdressers (2.8% vs. 2.1%, OR:1.3, p=0.01). However, no major anomaly was found specific to the case group. Although the risk to deliver SGA baby was higher in the group which had perm and hair spray more, this difference was not statistically significant. By this study, it is brought to the mind that hair dye may cause fetal impacts not only by being absorbed through the scalp and hair cuticle but also inhalation. Therefore, many respected authorities recommend following precautions when dying hairs: If possible, it should be waited for the second trimester for dying hair; it should be done in a well-ventilated environment; if individual applies the hair, then she should use gloves; the dye should not be kept too long in the hair, and the hair should be rinsed well after dying. Also, it is recommended to use rather semi-permanent dyes or balayage-type superficial chemicals or henna which is completely herbal.<sup>[10,11]</sup>

It has been proved that many substances in the hair dyes may pass to the systemic circulation from the skin in human and may exhibit carcinogen effects in laboratory animals.<sup>[12-14]</sup> With the warnings by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 4-chloro-m-phenylenediamine, 2,4-toluenediamine, 2-nitro-p-phenylenediamine, 4-amino-2-nitrophenol (4-MMPD) were removed from the hair dyes especially after 1980s.<sup>[15]</sup> Being effective as of December 1st, 2006, the European Union banned to use 22 chemical substances to use in hair dyes. Hair dyes used today and the chemical substances included are shown in **Table 1**. The contents of hair dyes vary according to the dye type (**Table 1**).

The effects of temporary and semi-permanent dyes on hair are different than the effects of permanent dyes. The coloring molecule in temporary and semi-permanent dyes deposits on hair cuticle while it penetrates into the cortex of hair shaft in permanent dyes. Permanent hair dyes require to be used with an auxiliary substance such as hydrogen peroxide in order to reach the cortex. Hydrogen peroxide causes hair cuticle to expand, disrupts natural melanine pigment of the hair and enables dye to reach the cortex and causes pigmentation by oxidation.<sup>[16]</sup> Therefore, oxidative damage occurring in hair is quite distinct in permanent dyes. The damage is higher especially when dark color (dark brown, black) hair dves are used. As the indication of oxidative damage, scientific evidences have been seen reporting that the incidence of malignancies such as bladder cancer, breast cancer, some brain tumors and lymphoma increases in adults by using dark color hair dyes.<sup>[16,17]</sup> The studies carried out on this matter are generally based on hospital records or patient interviews. On the other hand, these are the retrospective studies conducted on small populations. Therefore, no strong evidences are presented by study results sufficient to establish cause and effect relationship. The strongest evidence between hair dye use and malignancy was particularly found for the follicular sub-type of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). This risk especially becomes clear when the period for using dark color dyes increase (>15 years).<sup>[18]</sup> Thus, it is recommended to those who personally have NHL history or who

Table 1. Hair dyes and chemical substances included.[15]

Temporary dye	Azine derivatives Thiazine derivatives Indo amines Triphenylmethane Nitroanilines
Semi-permanent dye	Nitroanilines Nitrophenylenediamines Azo derivatives Anthraquinone
Permanent dye	Para-phenylenediamide Para-toluylenediamine Modified para-diamines Ortho- or para-aminophenol

have first degree relative with NHL history to be careful since using hair dye increases the cumulative risk. On the other hand, it is also recommended to apply petroleumbased cream to scalp before dye application and to reduce the period for keeping dye on hair about 25% in order to decrease the absorption of the hair dye.<sup>[15]</sup> However, there has been no study carried out on the effects of such recommendations for decreasing NHL incidence.

After presenting the relationship between hair dyes and malignancy risk in adults, it has been investigated if there are any carcinogen effects during adulthood in terms of fetus when hair dyes are used during pregnancy. The studies carried out on this matter are the retrospective case-controlled studies and it has been presented in three out of four studies that there is an association between maternal hair dye use and childhood cancers. In the study of McCall et al. carried out in 2005, it was shown that there is a relationship between maternal hair dye use and neuroblastomas seen during childhood period. The authors showed that using hair dye during pregnancy including one month before the pregnancy has increased the neuroblastoma risk during childhood period (OR 1.6, 95% CI: 1.2-2.22).<sup>[19]</sup> In another study, it was reported that the incidence rate for brain tumors increased in the children of women who used hair dves manufactured after 1980.<sup>[20]</sup> The authors in this study asserted that women should stay away hair dyes during pregnancy period due to the possible carcinogenic effects on fetus. Finally, Chen at al. reported in their case-controlled study that the incidence rate of tumors in male children of women who start to use hair dye one month before pregnancy increases, and hair dye use continued during breast-feeding increases the incidence rate of germ cell tumors in female children (OR 1.5, 95% CI:1.0-2.2).<sup>[21]</sup> In their case-controlled study carried out in 1994, Bunin et al. found no relationship between maternal hair dye use and astrocytic glioma and primitive neuroectodermal brain tumors during childhood.<sup>[22]</sup>

In brief, even though cause and effect relation has not been revealed clearly between hair dye use during pregnancy and childhood tumors, it is recommended in obstetric practice to share current scientific data with pregnant women.<sup>[15]</sup>

Throughout the history, the hair has been considered as the symbol of beauty, attraction and femininity. Particularly during recent years, the desire to keep the youngness for a long time has gained importance among the societies. On the other hand, maternal age has increased gradually due to widespread career-centered life styles of women. According to the studies, the rate of using hair dyes in women varies between 66 and 74%.<sup>[23]</sup> Therefore, it is evident that the number of women who desire to dye their hairs during pregnancy will increase.

In light of the data provided above, it seems that the reliability of using hair dye during pregnancy has still been controversial in terms of both teratological and carcinogenic effects, and it will be a rational approach to recommend avoiding frequent and long applications as much as possible.

Conflicts of Interest: No conflicts declared.

## References

- Ames BN, Kammen HO, Yamasaki E. Hair dyes are mutagenic: identification of a variety of mutagenic ingredients. Proct Natl Acad Sci USA 1975;72:2423-2427.
- Venitt S, Searle CE, Harnden DG, Gyde OHB. Carcinogenicity and mutagenicity test on some hair colourants and constituents. Nature 1975;225:506-7.
- Kirkland DJ, Venitt S. Cytotoxicity of hair colourant consituents: Chromosome damage induced by two nitrophenylenediamines in cultured Chinese hamster cells. Mutat Res 1976;40:47-56.
- Benedict WF. Morphologic transformation and chromosome aberrations produced by two hair dye components. Nature 1976; 260:368-369.
- Burnett CM, Goldenthal EI, Harris SB, Wazeter FX, Strausburg J, Kapp R, et al. Teratology and percutaneous toxicity studies on hair dyes. J Toxicol Environ Health 1976;1:1027-40.
- Burnett C, Loehr R, Corbett J. Dominant lethal mutagenicity study on hair dyes. J Toxicol and Environm Health 1977;2:657-62.
- Picciano JC, DiNardo JC, Schnetzinger RW, Morris WE, Wolf BA. Teratological assessment of 4-methyl-N-ethylaminophenol sulphate. Drug Chem Toxicol 1984;7:397-405.
- Picciano JC, Schnetzinger RW, Morris WE, Wolf BA, Rodwell DE. Evaluation of the teratogenic potential of the oxidative dye N-phenyl-para-phenylenediamine. Drug Chem Toxicol 1984;7:1677-76.
- Rylander L, Axmon A, Torén K, Albin M. Reproductive outcome among female hairdressers. Occup Environ Med 2002;59:517-22.
- 10. Organization of Teratology Information Specialists. Hair treatments and pregnancy. 2010. Retrieved from https://www.mothertobaby.org/files/hairtreatments.pdf

- 11. National Health Service. Is it safe to use hair dye when I'm pregnant or breastfeeding? 2013. Retrieved from http://www.nhs.uk/chq/Pages/949.aspx#close
- 12. Genina EA, Bashkatov AN, Sinichkin YP, Kochubey VI, Lakodina NA, Altshuler GB, et al. In vitro and in vivo study of dye diffusion into the human skin and hair follicles. J Biomed Opt 2002;7:471-7.
- Lademann J, Richter H, Jacobi U, Patzelt A, Hueber-Becker F, Ribaud C, et al. Human percutaneous absorption of a direct hair dye comparing in vitro and in vivo results: implications for safety assessment and animal testing. Food Chem Toxicol 2008;46:2214-23.
- Steiling W, Kreutz J, Hofer H. Percutaneous penetration/ dermal absorption of hair dyes in vitro. Toxicol In Vitro 2001;15:565-70.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Product and ingredient safety: hair dye products. June 28, 2009. Retrieved from http://www.fda.gov/Cosmetics/ProductandIngredientSafety /ProductInformation/ucm143066.htm
- 16. Saitta P, Cook CE, Messina JL, Brancaccio R, Wu BC, Grekin SK, et al. Is there a true concern regarding the use of hair dye and malignancy development? A review of the epidemiological evidence relating personal hair dye use to the risk of malignancy. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol 2013;6:39-46.
- Takkouche B, Etminan M, Montes- Martínez A. Personal use of hair dyes and risk of cancer: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2005;293:2516-25.

- Zhang Y, Sanjose SD, Bracci PM, Morton LM, Wang R, Brennan P, et al. Personal use of hair dye and the risk of certain subtypes of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:1321-31.
- McCall EE, Olshan AF, Daniel JL. Maternal hair dye use and risk of neuroblastoma in offspring. Cancer Causes Control 2005;16:743-8.
- 20. Efird ET, Holly EA, Cordier S, Mueller BA, Lubin F, Filippini G, et al. Beauty product-related exposures and childhood brain tumors in seven countries: results from the SEARCH international brain tumor study. J Neurooncol 2005;72:133-47.
- 21. Chen Z, Robison L, Giller R, Krailo M, Davis M, Davies S, et al. Environmental exposure to residential pesticides, chemicals, dusts, fumes and metals, and risk of childhood germ cell tumors. Int J Hyg Environ Health 2006;209:31-40.
- 22. Bunin GR, Buckley JD, Boesel CP, Rorke LB, Meadows AT. Risk factors for astrocytic glioma and primitive neuroectodermal tumor of the brain in young children: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1994;3:197-204.
- 23. Rollison DE, Helzlsouer KJ, Pinney SM. Personal hair dye use and cancer: systematic literature review and evaluation of exposure assessment in studies published since 1992. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev 2006;9:413-39.